UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,533	02/22/2007	David Dreisinger	1510.011	1354
	7590 01/04/201 IENBERG FARLEY &	EXAMINER		
5 COLUMBIA		BOS, STEVEN J		
ALBANY, NY	12203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/57	8,533	DREISINGER ET	DREISINGER ET AL.	
		Exam	ner	Art Unit		
		Stever		1793		
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2t Since this application is in condition for	o) This action or allowance exc	is non-final. ept for formal matters, p		e merits is	
	on of Claims	•	,			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	Claim(s) is/are pending in the a Aa) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,5-13 and 20 is/are reject Claim(s) 14-18 and 21-24 is/are object Claim(s) are subject to restricti con Papers	e withdrawn from ed. eted to.				
10) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to least the specific or declaration is objected to least to the specific or declaration is objected to least the specific or declaratio	a) accepted of a conto the drawings the correction is re-	(s) be held in abeyance. So quired if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 C	, ,	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	O-948)	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:			

Claims 14-18,21-24 are objected to because of the following informalities: "C" should be -°C- and in the last line "said solution" should recite which it refers to the one in (b) or the one in (d). Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3,5-13,20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In claim 1, "at a temperature of 195 to 270°C" is new matter because instant Figs 1-3 only support this temperature range for a feed of copper-iron-sulfur material in an autoclave which is not required by instant claim 1.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3,5-13,20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swinkels '770 in view of Collins '776.

Swinkels suggests the instantly claimed process of leaching iron containing copper sulfides with a pressure oxidizing acid leach solution of sulfuric acid at a temperature of 200-250°C in which basic ferric sulfate is formed therein. See col. 10.

Swinkels may differ in that chalcocite is not stated.

Page 4

Collins teaches a similar pressure oxidation sulfuric acid leaching process wherein chalcocite is treated at temperatures as high as about 220°C. See cols. 5,6.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to treat the chalcocite of Collins in the process of Swinkels because each is drawn to a similar leaching process for copper recovery from iron containing ore.

Applicant's arguments filed September 15, 2009 have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claims 14-18,21-24 appear allowable over the cited prior art of record.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Bos whose telephone number is 571-272-1350. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9AM to 6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stan Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Steven Bos Primary Examiner Art Unit 1793

sjb

/Steven Bos/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793